

PAPICHEBOWND

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

- - -

FRIDAY, JULY 15, 1955

United States Senate,

Subcommittee on Defense of the
Committee on Appropriations,

Washington, D. C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to recess, at 11:00
a.m., in room F-39, The Capitol, Honorable Dennis Chavez,
chairman of the subcommittee, presiding.

Present: Senators Chavez (chairman of the subcommittee),
Hayden, Ellender, Robertson, Magnuson, Stennis,
Saltonstall, Young and Knowland.

Senator Chavez. Mr. Fisher, will you come forward,
please. I understand that you represent some residents
from the Langley-Great Falls, Virginia, area.

STATEMENT OF ROGER D. FISHER, McLEAN, VA.

Mr. Fisher. That is correct.

Senator Robertson. Mr. Chairman, before the
protesting citizens from Fairfax testify, I think it is
only fair that they should know that Mr. Dulles has just
told us with reference to the housing program out there --

I know some of the land owners are opposed -- that he

contemplates no housing program over there at the present time. The present employees are all housed. At least 70 per cent of them will find convenient access to either a location in Alexandria or a location in Fairfax, and that there is not contemplated a big government housing project of chicken coop type houses that would deteriorate the land values or impinge upon those who have invested money in handsome homes in that area.

Mr. Fisher. Thank you, Senator Robertson.

My name is Roger Fisher. I am a citizen resident and property owner of the Langley-Great Falls area, living a couple of miles beyond Langley.

I understand you are considering the appropriation for the CIA construction program building. The authorization that has passed the Congress includes \$1 million for land acquisition, \$46 million for the building, and an addition of \$8.5 million for roads to Langley should the CIA locate in Langley.

We are here opposed to the additional appropriation of ~~\$21~~ \$8.5 million which the Langley site involves.

With me today are some of the citizens of the Langley area, Mr. Anthony Lewis, Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Neel. He

Mrs. Byrd, who is active in the Democratic organization of the Democratic Party. There is also Betty Newman, who is Republican precinct captain. We certainly appreciate the opportunity to come here. We believe the way the decision has been handled to date has meant that a lot of people, both in the area and the Congress and I believe in CIA do not appreciate some of the problems involved in that site.

The first consideration, of course, is the cost. There is no disagreement that it will cost at least \$8.5 million of federal funds. This is just to bring the road out to Langley from the present stopping point in Lorcum Lane.

Senator Ellender. Is that road to be built in any event? Has it been authorized?

Mr. Fisher. I would say not. I would say the Capper-Crampton Act authorized a parkway including a highway on the Maryland side. There is no specific authorization for a highway on the Virginia side. I think it will be built eventually. Many residents in the area do want it. The primary saving in cost, to be sure, is the immediate saving.

Appropriations Committee. The size of the building is known. I don't believe many people realize that this installation will be the second largest government office building in the United States. It will be two and a half times as big as the Justice Department Building. It will be six times as big as the new State Department Building. It will have room for three times as many people as are working in the Commerce Department building.

My figures are as of the 31st of December of last year for net floor space and employees involved.

This is half the size of the Pentagon. It could hold by figures that have been supplied to us, 16,000 employees. There will be office space for them at the proposed efficiency that the CIA contemplates. I believe Mr. Dulles yesterday was unaware when I spoke with him that this was to be the second largest government building in the United States. General Services Administration has given me the figures on the other large government buildings. If you like I will submit those for the record.

Mr. Dulles. Mr. Chairman, I may state that while I sit here and don't answer, I do not consider his statement to be accurate.

Mr. Fisher. If there is any question on the accuracy of the figures, the proposed figures for gross square feet of office space are 2,300,000. We have been told that this building will be 70 per cent efficient in terms of net to gross, which gives net square feet of 1,610,000. We are told that CIA hopes to have an average of 100 square feet of office space per employee with the efficiency they hope to be able to obtain, not that they contemplate putting in 16,000 now, but they hope to obtain efficiency of 100 square feet per employee. That makes 16,100 employees could be accommodated in the building according to figures appearing in the House Appropriations Committee.

Together with the installation is the question of roads. The roads are coming out to Langley. It is proposed that the four lane highway be extended to Langley and stop at the CIA installation at this time. The state has promised to increase and provide state funds to improve the road from where the parkway crosses 123 out to Langley. This means we will have a superhighway stopping right at Langley. Beyond that there are two small two lane roads, one going through the village of McLean, Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100160001-2 one 18 foot wide pavement winding over the hills to Great

Falls. It seems to me that the provision for roads is nowhere near adequate. If you are going to provide roads, we need more roads. We don't think Congress is going to give us a complete highway program for the area to cope with the problems generated.

It strikes me that the Pentagon with the Shirley Highway built from the 14th Street Bridge to the Pentagon with no new bridge, and that is not a highway, the roads, water and sewage that is provided is provided for the building. It is not provided for the development that we believe and the experts believe will develop around the area.

Now we turn to what will this produce. The National Capital Planning Commission has recommended to CIA the areas to be considered. In recommending the areas, the Planning Commission limited itself to ones with existing urban development now served by utilities.

The experts who have considered this problem have a statement from Mr. Robinson, Director of the Northern Virginia Regional Planning Commission, who states, "The utilities needed to service an installation of this size are not as yet available, and major highway

the George Washington Memorial Parkway were to be extended to this site. Most of the roads in this area have 40 and 50 foot rights of way, which could never conceivably carry the traffic load to and from the building at rush hours without major improvements, and the expenditure of more funds than are likely to be available to all of Fairfax County for many years. The entire statement will be submitted for the record.

On a planning problem of this kind we accept ~~the~~ the views of the experts that there will be an impact. The second largest government office building, a super highway ending at the area, that regardless of where the CIA employees live, there will be large speculation, large ^{apartment} scale building, in the area, ~~and~~ type buildings and others.

CIA has told us that they have no special reason, no secret reason for wanting Langley. Their basic considerations in site selection are convenience to the agencies they serve and convenience to their employees. As for access to the agencies they serve, the Winkler tract in Alexandria is about four and a half miles from the Pentagon with which ~~they~~ we are told two thirds

carried on. This tract is 7 miles or more over a proposed highway that is not yet built,

As for access to the employees, some 30 per cent of them live in Virginia already. CIA will not say that Langley is any more convenient than Alexandria. They will say after they get the road in it will not be much difference. Certainly it is more convenient now on the Winkler tract.

As for the other employees who live in the District and Maryland, this building will take three years to build and get the road to it. I assume that CIA like other organizations has some turnover of its employees. I do not know the figures because they have declined to tell us about it but assuming that the average employee works there five years, taking secretaries and things into account, that would mean a 20 per cent turnover every year. By the end of three years they would have about 50 per cent or some 48 per cent, assuming the split is even for the ones who stay and leave, new employees.

Where do those employees live and build their homes?

Where they decide to locate will depend upon where CIA

locates. I submit that the site to locate in this area

Maryland and the District is a great mistake. By the time Cabin John Bridge and highway is built, the employees would be more convenient to a Winkler tract if that site is selected.

There has been a good deal of discussion as to the alternative use that would be made of this land if CIA does not locate on it. We have a good proposal for that. We say the Congress has already authorized the acquisition of a large part if not all of this land for park purposes under the Capper-Crampton Act. We have discussed this with the National Capital Planning Commission staff. We have proposed to them that this property be taken over for park purposes if CIA does not locate on it, and that proposal will be considered in the National Capital Planning Commission at their meeting now scheduled for the first week in August.

I would like to let the members of the committee look at some of the photographs of the land which we think is ideally suited for park purposes as contrasted with the second largest government office building.

This property has a mile and a half of five front.

It is the only piece of government property on the

be made into a large park.

We are told that no other government agency can build this close to Washington without special approval of Arthur Flemming on the security and dispersal regulations. If CIA does not build on this property, there is every reason to believe that all the property not currently required by the public roads installation there, which is small, and by the small military installation which is nearby, could be a park. We think that would be a better purpose for the land. There is no economy to use fine riverfront property for an office building rather than a park. You might as well use Park Avenue land for a warehouse rather than for what it is properly suited.

This park could be a park for the whole Northern Virginia area, and a riverfront park for them. There is no comparable park currently available.

We must recognize that there are residents in the area who want it out there. The commercial interests, the Chamber of Commerce want it out there. The Board of Supervisors has voted inviting CIA to come to this site. There are differences within our own county. I think that when you are appraising these differences, you must

to the alternative location now being considered on the Shirley Highway, the Winkler tract.

I have a letter from our Congressman to you, which he asked me to deliver to you. I would like to read from Congressman Broyhill, and submit it for the record. He had hoped to be here himself, but has not had the opportunity.

"Dear Senator Chavez:

"I understand that your subcommittee is considering funds for a new headquarters for the CIA. Two of the sites now being studied by CIA are in my district. I have spent a good deal of time looking into the advantages and disadvantages of these two sites. I have discussed both sites with many individual citizens as local public officials. While there has been no objection whatever from any group of citizens to the Winkler tract, there are many citizens in the Langley area who do object to its being located there. In addition, from the standpoint of the local facilities and improvements, we have been assured by the Alexandria City Council of complete cooperation in providing the same. In the Langley area more extensive highway improvements will be necessary which

Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100160001-2

will require a great deal more cooperation from the Fairfax

County authorities, and in particular from the state highway department of Virginia. As you can see, the purpose of my letter is to give you the benefit of local sentiment as I see it. There are many citizens, including the local government authorities, who favor the Langley site. There are many other reasons which CIA may have which would favor one side more than the other. Nevertheless, I do urge favorable consideration of this appropriation. Regardless of which site is selected, I believe it will be an asset to the Northern Virginia community, and will be welcomed by a majority of the people."

I put Mr. Broyhill on the spot, and I said if it comes down between these two sites, which one would you prefer? He authorized me to tell you that if it comes to a choice between the two, he would prefer the Winkler site, because of the local opposition. He thinks the planning problems could be overcome by diligent effort. They are greater in the Langley area. If it comes to a choice, he would prefer the Winkler tract.

He does not want it thought that he is opposed to the appropriation. He wants it to come to his district, and that is his primary consideration.

several other witnesses.

Mr. Fisher. No, sir. I would like to submit a statement for the record, and a few letters, and if there are no questions, that will be all.

Senator Chavez. The statement will be inserted in the record.

(The statement referred to follows:)

STATEMENT OF SAMUEL E. NEEL, LANGLEY, VA.

Mr. Neel. My name is Samuel E. Neel. I live approximately one quarter of a mile from where this installation may be. I think we ought to be careful to state to you gentlemen that we, of course, recognize the necessity for CIA, as they have told you, for having some kind of a centralized installation both from the point of view of saving money, and from the point of view of their convenience. What we are really saying to you gentlemen is that while we recognize their need for a building and recognize the necessity for their appropriation, we object to the location of the building in an area such as Langley, and we believe that Senator Ellender, you can save the tax payers \$8.5 million this year, and still take care of CIA's needs, and still take care of the nature of our community by authorizing the appropriation for the building but by not authorizing any extra so far as roads are concerned.

I would like to tell you gentlemen a ~~little~~ little bit about the area where we live. This site and my house is approximately three miles from the Potomac River in Fairfax County. It is 8 miles from the White House.

Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100160001-2
There is only one highway which serves the area. We have

no sewers in this area. We have our own sceptic tanks. We have no water facilities anywhere around the site. We have our own wells. That is one of the problems of the area, that the water table is dropping. There is no bus service of any consequence to our area. There are two busses in the morning that serve this area where this installation is, and two busses the other way in the evening. There are roughly 2500 registered voters in the entire precinct where this property is located. In other words, you are talking about a very rural kind of area.

I would like to show you an aerial photograph of the property at which it is proposed to put this installation. The area owned by the Bureau of Public Roads is outlined in red.

is
Mr. Fisher. Here is the area in red that the Public Roads tract, a mile and a half frontage on the river.

Senator Ellender. Where would the contemplated road pass?

Mr. Neel. The Memorial Parkway would cross 123 and wind around in this way. It would come to a dead stop at the government property.

Mr. Neel. Yes, sir.

Senator Ellender. Isn't it contemplated to have a road go further up to Great Falls?

Mr. Neel. There are plans to have it go up to Great Falls.

Senator Ellender. There is no objection to that, is there, from the citizens?

Mr. Neel. No. The idea of a road along the park area we are all for. It is contemplated, and the CIA states they can only go to this site. If in addition to the money for the parkway the State of Virginia spends whatever money is necessary to make 123, which is now a two lane highway right past where we live into a four lane divided highway, at least from where the Memorial Parkway would cross up to this fork, the highway department recently stated they had no such money in the foreseeable future. They have recently stated they could probably find some money to do that for this part of the road. Nothing is said about enlarging Chain Bridge or the highway down here.

So you can see what kind of territory you are

dealing with. It is a strictly residential area. There

Bridge to McLean, Virginia, which is up around this corner, except two minor filling stations located along this highway. This is like some parts of Westchester County and some parts of the peninsula south of San Francisco. So what we are talking about is the installation of a Pentagon kind of facility in an area which is strictly residential.

Senator Knowland. The thing that appeals to me from this map you have just shown us there, if it goes clear over to the river front, for a highly sensitive agency like DIA, particularly if we got into a period of imminence of war, wouldn't they almost have to close off any public access even if the highway was built to Great Falls? They could not have the general public traipsing through this highly sensitive agency.

Mr. Neel. I don't know. But I think it is a perfectly good worry, and it would worry us, Senator.

Senator Robertson. Mr. Chairman, I am sorry to interrupt, but I made an appointment with Governor Eccles in my office at 12 o'clock assuming that this hearing would be over by then.

Before my friend from Fairfax came in, I announced

site or Alexandria. I am sorry I have to leave, but I have kept Governor Eccles waiting for 12 minutes.

Senator Chavez. We have only one more witness on CIA. That is the Mayor of Alexandria.

Mr. Neel. If I may continue, when this project first became public information that CIA might possibly locate in Virginia, this was in March of this year the citizens association of McLean, Virginia, and bear in mind that McLean, Virginia, is a cross roads unincorporated community, there is no town out there, it is simply a group of stores around a little crossroad ^{about} ~~by~~ a mile from this property, that is the only shopping facility in the entire area. Langley is simply the name for that fork in the road. There used to be a post office many years ago at Langley. It was moved upto McLean. There is no town or anything like that at Langley.

When we found out that this installation which we think will have such a tremendous impact and change the character of our neighborhood was contemplated, we invited all the planning organizations, and Mr. Dulles' organization through Col. White, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors to come to an open meeting and

to be present because he could not discuss this matter publicly.

The Board of Supervisors were not present, but the planning organizations were present. At that meeting we had what is for us a relatively large number of people presen . We had 400 some odd members of the citizens association living in the area, and some 190 were present. They voted 105 to 80 to oppose the CIA location at Langley. In other words, we didn't want them at Langley. We made that known to the CIA and to all other agencies shortly after that meeting. I would like to file for the record a copy of our letters.

(The letters referred to follow:)

Mr. Neel. Shortly thereafter the Fairfax County Supervisors passed a resolution which invited CIA to come to Fairfax County only if the Federal Government would provide necessary water, sewer and road facilities. That was imposed, and of course the CIA promptly withdrew their decision to go to Langley.

Most people forgot about the matter until the Board of Supervisors passed another resolution which in effect said we would be glad to have you come to Fairfax County and if Langley is the only place in Fairfax County you will come, we, the Supervisors, will be glad to have you in Langley, and we will try to take care of the incidental costs of sewers, roads and water. How they will do it is great conjecture. We residents who pay the taxes for the cost of these things wonder if we have the money to do it.

After that meeting and after the Board of Supervisors had passed that latter resolution, the interest of CIA in this site picked up.

Our point is this. If it is absolutely necessary to put a junior grade pentagon in our back yards, we as good citizens, if there was a special reason, though it would change the character of our community, we would go along with it. But there is no such compelling reason.

We do not see why this committee or the Congress ought to spend additional money to allow CIA to go to a place where the local residents don't want it and where they can get adequate sites elsewhere.

I have no brief for the Winkler site. I am not employed in any capacity to represent that site. It is available. The Shirley Highway goes right by it. It is closer to the Pentagon than this site. It is closer to the White House than this site. The traffic pattern is established. The cost to purchase that Winkler tract would only be \$175,000. The cost to fix the connection up to the roadway would be another couple of hundred thousand dollars. Less than \$400,000 would set up the CIA in a site that is perfectly adequate.

We cannot see why this Congress ought to authorize \$8.5 million dollars to do a job that \$400,000 will do when the citizens of the local area think that the whole character of the neighborhood would be changed by this kind of installation.

Senator Chavez. We want to hear as soon as possible from the Mayor of Alexandria to tell us how good the Winkler site is.

this point that I understand some parties are here from the area that want this building at Langley. We did not get a chance to hear them before the Armed Services Committee, and I feel some obligation to them. May I ask that they be heard briefly at this time?

Senator Chavez. They will be heard.

Senator Stennis. I thought you were going to another site.

Senator Chavez. We can hear from Mr. Townsend now before we hear from the Mayor.

Mr. Neel. May I have the authority to put various matters in the record, please?

Senator Chavez. Yes.

Mr. Neel. Thank you very much.

(The information referred to follows:)

(COMMITTEE INSERT)

Senator Chavez. Mr. Townsend.

Mr. Townsend. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Wills, the president of the County Chamber, is here and is better qualified than I to speak for the Chamber. Could I mention there in reference to the schedule of witnesses that the spokesman for the county government is here, the county executive, who is especially authorized by the County Board of Supervisors to speak for the county. That is Mr. Carlton Massey.

There is Mr. W. C. Wills, the president of the Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce.

There is the leading editor and publisher of the paper serving the McLean area here, Mr. Richard Smith, and Mr. O. V. Carper, a life long resident of the area. All of these people are enthusiastic for the Lagley site for CIA.

Senator Chavez. I was wondering if those gentlemen had written statements.

Mr. Townsend. Yes, sir. Mr. Massey and Mr. Wills and all have written statements.

Senator Chavez. We would like to have them inserted in the record.

You called for Mr. Wills, and I should explain that he is speaking in my stead. I believe Mr. Massey was planning to speak first, and then Mr. Wills would follow.

STATEMENT OF CARLTON C. MASSEY,
COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY
OF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA.

Mr. Massey. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, I have a very brief prepared statement.

Senator Chavez. I would appreciate it if you would insert it in the record, and then highlight it to the committee.

Mr. Massey. All right, sir.

(The statement referred to follows:)

Mr. Massey. I want to first say that there appears to have developed some political jealousy or discussion concerning two sites. I want to assure you that the officials of Fairfax County are not here for the purpose of arguing between two sites. We have made no statements concerning the Winkler tract and intend not to make any such statement. We do want to call to your attention certain facts in connection with the tract at Langley, and very briefly the presentations which you have heard this morning, and certain material which has been published in the local newspapers within the past two days -- I think one of your own members has somewhat challenged -- is the fact that to locate this building on this site would cost the taxpayers \$13 million more than some other site. It would seem perfectly obvious to me that not one penny of that expenditure would be for the purpose of serving the CIA.

The construction of the George Washington Memorial Parkway has been a planned project for some time. The work to be done by the State Department of Highways which they have agreed to do in the amount of some three or four hundred thousand dollars or less is a project which they

Approved For Release 2004/08/31 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100160001-2

have planned previous to this time.

Senator Chavez. That was to be located whether CIA located there or elsewhere.

Mr. Massey. It is definitely planned to be, regardless, and more than that. This is just one part of it. The cost to the county of some \$250,000 to \$300,000 for sewage disposal is not a contribution. It is not a cost to the taxpayers. It is simply a financing program to provide a service as is done to any other situation in the county, and would be self supporting by virtue of its ^{have} revenue. We/~~would~~ not agreed to furnish CIA free sewer service forever. We have agreed to put in an installation and they become a customer as any other person would become, paying their share of the cost.

The Board of County Supervisors has consistently welcomed CIA to this location. They have agreed to do these things because in their opinion it is desirable for the county. With the brief statement which I have here --

Senator Saltonstall. Let me ask one question, please. Does this contemplate a four lane, two width highway up the hill on the present site of that road which goes uphill there now to McLean?

Mr. Massey. The proposal that the highway has agreed

Senator Saltonstall. That road will be widened?

Mr. Massey. It will be widened from the proposed intersection with the proposed George Washington Memorial Parkway past the CIA proposed location, not to Chain Bridge.

Senator Saltonstall. Up to the split of the road.

Mr. Massey. That is correct, up to what we call the Langley intersection.

Senator Saltonstall. So that would be a change that would probably not be carried forward if the CIA building did not go there.

Mr. Massey. No, sir, that is not correct. That is part of the 123 highway that you heard about this morning that the state highway department has already made a survey on and plan to widen to a four lane road. They have not planned to do it this year or next year, which is also true of the George Washington highway.

Senator Ellender. How would the erection of this building affect the continuation of the building of this memorial highway to its destination?

Mr. Massey. I frankly can't answer that question because I am not familiar with the detailed location.

Senator Ellender. Would it pass through the Langley

Mr. Massey. It would pass through the property now owned by the Bureau of Public Roads.

Senator Knowland. That is one thing I would like to clear up, Mr. Chairman. It is a question I raised before. First of all, one of the reasons, as I understand it, for the CIA wanting to get at one location is for security. I can see where possibly they could work out some kind of arrangement in even a troubled peacetime to permit traffic to pass through there. But knowing what we do in most of our installations, military posts and others, when it comes to wartime, are they going to be satisfied with having free public access of thousands of automobiles and tourists and others passing through the CIA place, when they are having people come in and out which they would prefer not to have the general public having knowledge of them?

Mr. Dulles. Senator, this highway, the George Washington Memorial Highway, when constructed, would be no nearer our building than the Shirley Highway would be. We would have means of cutting off this highway completely from the area where our building was. There would be no difficulty as far as that is concerned. We have to have a major highway going somewhere near our installation to get our people

there. There would then be access roads from this major highway into our ~~xx~~ own tract. They would be short. But we would be completely isolated from the road by adequate provision.

Senator Ellender. Would it be necessary to change the present plans of erecting this highway?

Mr. Dulles. No, sir.

Senator Knowland. Do you need the entire Langley tract for the CIA installation?

Mr. Dulles. No, we would not need the entire Langley site. We did not intend to take it. The Langley site is over 300 acres. We would probably use around 100 acres of that.

Senator Knowland. I think it is important to clarify the record on that point.

Senator Ellender. Would your 100 acres have to border on this parkway?

Mr. Dulles. A part would border on the parkway.

Senator Ellender. Would it have to? Could you not select it to be away from the highway altogether?

Mr. Dulles. We would be able to isolate ourselves from the highway. For example, these other tracts on the Shirley Highway, Senator, we have exactly the same problem.

There is a great highway which will run within 100 yards of our building.

Senator Knowland. Would you ~~object~~ be on the riverfront or away from it?

Mr. Dulles. Away from the River.

Senator Saltonstall. This Washington Memorial highway is the new highway through the parkway that will go along by the river. That will be a new road built up as far as the CIA building at the present time.

Mr. Dulles. And eventually go further.

Senator Saltonstall. Route 123 which is a narrow two width road at the present time is an existing roadway. As I understand the county executive, that has already been planned to be made into a four width road.

Mr. Massey. That is correct.

Senator Saltonstall. Up as far as this triangle where the road goes left into McLean and right to Great Falls.

Mr. Massey. It is planned even beyond that.

Senator Saltonstall. My question is this. If you build that into a four lane highway up to that intersection,

What are you going to do beyond that? Is there any

with the government reservation on the right, which is already fenced and everything, what is going to happen beyond that split in the road?

Mr. Massey. In other words, beyond the proposed four laning in connection with this project?

Senator Saltonstall. That is correct. You will dump a lot of traffic into two narrow roads.

Mr. Massey. Yes. Let me explain it in this fashion. The state not only has planned this section for a four lane road, but has proposed improvement to 123 on through for miles beyond this point. This is one section discussed because of this particular situation.

Senator Saltonstall. May I ask one of the CIA personnel, which road would the employees of the CIA come into the building on?

Mr. Pforzheimer. The majority will be using the parkway except those who come from Virginia and who would be coming in on 123.

Senator Saltonstall. So the majority of the number of employees that come into the CIA building would come along the Washington Memorial Parkway and not come up the hill.

Senator Saltonstall. On present Route 123?

Mr. Pforzheimer. That is correct.

Senator Chavez. Is there anything further, Mr. Massey?

Mr. Massey. Mr. Chairman, I think if I may file for the record two or three actions for the record and leave copies of the statement I have prepared, I think I have finished.

Senator Chavez. Very well. They may be inserted in the record at this point.

(The information referred to follows:)

PREPARED STATEMENT FOR PRESENTATION TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUB-COMMITTEE
OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
JULY 15, 1955

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Committee:

My name is Carlton C. Massey, County Executive of the County of Fairfax, Virginia. I am appearing before you at the direction of the Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, for the purpose of presenting to you in person the position of the Board in connection with consideration by the Central Intelligence Agency of a site near Langley in Fairfax County, Virginia, for the erection of its office building.

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors has consistently welcomed the Central Intelligence Agency to locate its office building in Fairfax County and has expressed this welcome by formal action on at least three occasions.

On March 18, 1955, the Board passed a motion inviting the C.I.A. to locate in Fairfax County.

On May 4, 1955, a resolution was adopted by this Board cordially inviting the C.I.A. to use the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads property at Langley in Fairfax County, Virginia, for its future offices, and assuring the cooperation of the County Government in all matters under its responsibility.

On May 18, 1955, a resolution was adopted by this Board of County Supervisors giving assurance that within two years the County would make available sewage disposal facilities to the proposed site at Langley with no part of the initial cost to be borne by the Federal Government and subject to the customary connection charges and quarterly service charges as have been or may be established for similar service in the County.

Officials of the County have conferred with officials of the Virginia Department of Highways and the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia for the purpose of determining to what extent this State agency may be able to provide improved highway facilities to serve this proposed installation.

The Fairfax County Planning Commission has cooperated in connection with this matter by making its staff available for the preparation of information in connection therewith.

At a regular meeting of the Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Room in the County Office Building at Fairfax, Virginia, on Wednesday, May 4, 1955, at which meeting all members of said Board were present and voting, the following resolution was unanimously adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, as follows:

Representing what we feel to be the preponderant sentiment of Fairfax County, we most cordially invite the Central Intelligence Agency of the Federal Government to use the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads property at Langley for its future offices in Fairfax County, Virginia. We recognize the exceptionally high standards of character and citizenship of CIA staff members and personnel, and we shall gladly welcome these men and women to our community. You are assured that the Fairfax County Government stands ready to cooperate fully in all matters under its own responsibilities. We again invite the CIA wholeheartedly to Fairfax County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Honorable Allen W. Dulles, Director of Central Intelligence, and the Honorable Joel T. Broyhill, Member, House of Representatives.

A Copy - Teste:

Cora L. Hickok
Clerk of said Board.

At a regular meeting of the Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Room in the County Office Building at Fairfax, Virginia, on Wednesday, May 18, 1955, at which meeting all members of said Board were present and voting, the following resolution was adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia:

THAT the report of the Planning Commission staff on providing facilities in the area of the proposed CIA installation near Langley be approved as submitted; that a copy of it be forwarded to the CIA with an accompanying letter stating that the county can assure within two years from this date the availability of sewers for the facility contemplated on a basis of charges or rentals for such sewer service at figures which will not exceed the regular charges elsewhere in the County.

A Copy - Teste:

Clerk of said Board.